The brainstem-tentorium angle revisited. Difficulties encountered and possible solutions
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Background: Fetal posterior fossa fluid collections (PFFC) can range from normal variants to severe anomalies with highly variable neurological prognosis. The diagnosis of these entities is still a challenge for ultrasound as well as for fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The measurements of the brainstem-vermis angle (BV angle) and the brainstem-tentorium angle (BT angle) have been described as helpful in the differential diagnosis of the PFFC.

Case: We present a case with posterior fossa abnormalities where the measurement of the BT angle could be difficult due to the anatomy distortion.

Conclusion: We propose two alternative ways of measuring the BT angle that could be reliable in all the spectrum of PFFC.
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1. Introduction

Prenatal diagnosis and classification of fetal posterior fossa fluid collections (PFFC) is still a challenge nowadays. Numerous studies have evaluated different approaches and measurements to better depict these findings [1]. The measurements of the brainstem-vermis angle (BV angle) and the brainstem-tentorium angle (BT angle) have been described as helpful in the differential diagnosis of the PFFC. We present a case with posterior fossa abnormalities where the measurement of the BT angle could be difficult due to the anatomy distortion.

2. Case report

A 34-year-old woman, gravida 3, para 2, was referred to our unit during week 26 of her pregnancy due to a suspicion of fetal posterior fossa abnormality. She had followed a normal pregnancy control in another center. During the midtrimester scan a widened posterior fossa was visualized, without any other apparent malformation. An amniocentesis for fetal karyotyping was performed, obtaining a normal result (46 XY).

A complete sonography performed at our center revealed a mild unilateral ventriculomegaly (13 mm), with a widened cisterna magna, and an apparently hypoplastic vermis. We did not detect any extracranial abnormalities. Maternal blood samples for CMV, toxoplasmosis and rubella were negative. We performed a sonographic follow-up, without detecting any changes in the findings. The fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) performed in week 29 revealed a bilateral ventriculomegaly, cerebellar hypoplasia, the presence of an arachnoid cyst in the posterior fossa and periventricular white matter lesions.

The patient was informed by a multidisciplinary group about the prognosis, and decided to undergo a termination.
Fig. 1. Anatomical scheme and MRI performed in a fetus with normal posterior fossa. According to Ghi et al. [2], the BV angle is defined as the angle formed by a line tangential to the dorsal part of the brainstem (red line) and a line starting from the tip of the pons and crossing the lower edge of the cerebellar vermis (green line); whereas the BT angle is defined as the angle formed by a line tangential to the dorsal part of the brainstem and a line starting from the upper limit of the quadrigeminal plate, and following the tentorial surface down to the occipital bone (continuous blue line). The dotted blue line starts at the posterior tentorial insertion and ends at the anterior tentorial insertion. The dash-dotted blue line starts at the posterior tentorial insertion and ends at the quadrigeminal plate.

Fig. 2. Anatomical scheme and MRI performed in a fetus with a posterior fossa abnormality. The BT angle is formed by the red line and the continuous blue line. The dash-dotted blue line starts from the posterior tentorial insertion towards the quadrigeminal plate; the dotted blue line starts at the posterior tentorial insertion and ends at the anterior tentorial insertion. The BV angle is defined by the red and green lines.

3. Discussion

Fetal PFFC associated with upward displacement of the tentorium and rotation of the cerebellar vermis can range from normal variants to severe anomalies with highly variable neurological prognosis [4–6] (Blake’s pouch cyst, megacisterna magna, vermian hypoplasia and Dandy-Walker malformation). Although prenatal ultrasound is currently the standard technique for the diagnosis of fetal anomalies, fetal MRI is increasingly applied as a complementary technique, mainly in central nervous system defects, diaphragmatic hernias or placental anomalies [7, 8]. Despite the ongoing debate over whether one technique is superior to the other in the evaluation of the fetal brain [8–12], prenatal diagnosis of these entities is a challenge for both techniques. Some authors [13] advocate the use of fetal MRI as it can provide detailed information about normal and abnormal neu-
Fetal MRI has proven the greatest advantage over sonography in the evaluation of the posterior fossa and its anatomical structures, especially in delineating the torcular Herophili. However, it is precisely in the evaluation of the posterior fossa and its anatomical structures that fetal MRI has proven the greatest advantage over sonography, especially in delineating the torcular Herophili, which is rarely seen with ultrasound due to acoustic shadowing from the skull bones.

Ghi et al. (2021) published two sonographic measurements obtained in a mid-sagittal plane (BT angle and BV angle) that can be reproduced in fetal MRI in normal midtrimester fetuses. In abnormal conditions, both angles have shown to be useful in the categorization of the PFFC. Specifically, the clinical utility is that the BT angle is assumed as a quantitative measure of the normal tentorial insertion on the skull, and the BV angle as a quantitative measurement of the normal rotation of the cerebellar vermis over the brainstem. Although reproducible in normal midtrimester fetuses, the reproducibility of these measurements in fetuses with PFFC has not yet been demonstrated, and intra and interobserver variability may vary widely among different authors.

The case presented illustrates the difficulty that can be found when measuring the BT angle in fetuses with PFFC. Given that fetal MRI allows an excellent visualization of the whole tentorium, drawing the line that starts at the upper limit of the quadrigeminal plate and follows the tentorial surface should be done with ease (BT angle as described by Ghi et al. [2]) (Fig. 2, continuous line). But this line does not seem to accurately represent the posterior insertion of the tentorium in the occipital bone in cases where the tentorium does not form the limit of the quadrigeminal plate and follows the tentorial surface, always correctly representing the posterior insertion of the tentorium in the occipital bone in cases where the tentorium does not follow a linear trajectory. We propose two alternative descriptions of the BT angle. In the first one, the line that delineates the tentorium would connect the anterior and posterior tentorial insertion (Fig. 2, dotted line). However, we have observed that in some cases the anterior insertion can be difficult to identify as it can overlap with the straight sinus at its junction with the inferior sagittal sinus and the vein of Galen. For this reason, we propose a second BT angle, in which we would keep the quadrigeminal plate, that is always correctly visualized by fetal MRI, as the anterior reference point. In this case, we would connect the quadrigeminal plate with the posterior tentorial insertion at the torcular Herophili (Fig. 2, dash-dotted line). Any of these two alternative forms of measuring the angle would probably be more suitable for the majority of cases with PFFC. Further works would be necessary to prove it.

In accordance with the reported results of Volpe et al. (2021), the BV angle seems to be more accurate than the BT angle as described by Ghi et al. (2021) in the evaluation of PFFC. However, we believe that the alternative descriptions we propose may be reliable in all the spectrum of PFFC, even when the anatomy is highly distorted (as in the case presented). More studies are warranted in order to assess their reproducibility and accuracy in evaluating fetuses with posterior fossa abnormalities.

4. Conclusions

Diagnosis of PFFC remains challenging, and intra and interobserver variability of currently used BT and BV angles can vary widely. The new BT angle that we propose could improve this variability owing to a better diagnosis of PFFC.
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